

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/020,091	SANTILLI, RUGGERO MARIA	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Kishor Mayekar	1753	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) Kishor Mayekar.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Dennis LaPointe.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 6 April 2005
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 10, 33 and 42

Prior art documents discussed:

Smalley et al. (5,227,038)
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
See Continuation Sheet
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: in view of recent reference to Smalley '038, the Attorney authorizes the examiner to add the limitation of claim 10 into claim 1 and claim 42 into claim 33, to replace the term "capable of delivering" in claims 1 and 33 with --configured to deliver-- to definite define the claimed subject matter, and to cancel claims 10 and 42 by an examiner's amendment..